



EAST PRESTON PARISH COUNCIL

Council Office, 122 Sea Road, East Preston, West Sussex. BN16 1NN

Tel: 01903 770050

<http://eastpreston-pc.gov.uk>

Email: clerk@eastpreston-pc.gov.uk

PLANNING AND LICENSING COMMITTEE

MINUTES: of the Committee Meeting held on Monday, 15th March 2021 at 18:00hrs via the Zoom online meeting application

MEMBERS PRESENT: Councillors Christine Bowman, Kit Bradshaw, Glyn Mathias (Chairman), David Moore, Steve Toney and Steven Wilkinson

ALSO: Clerk to the Council, Simon Cross
Mrs Sioned Vos, East Preston and Kingston Preservation Society

ABSENT: Councillor Elizabeth Linton

The following abbreviations may appear in these Minutes:

ADC – Arun District Council;
EPKPS – East Preston and Kingston Preservation Society;
KPC – Kingston Parish Council;
WRA – Willowhayne Residents' Association;

AoSERA – Angmering-on-Sea Estate Residents' Association;
FPC – Ferring Parish Council;
RPC – Rustington Parish Council;
WSCC – West Sussex County Council.

The meeting opened at 18:02.

The meeting was held in accordance with *The Local Authorities and Police and Crime Panels (Coronavirus) (Flexibility of Local Authority and Police and Crime Panel Meetings) (England and Wales) Regulations 2020* and the council's own *Protocol for Remote Meetings*.

225/21 APOLOGIES AND REASONS FOR ABSENCE

An apology and a reason for absence had been received from Cllr Linton (prior engagement).

226/21 DECLARATION OF PERSONAL AND/OR PREJUDICIAL/PECUNIARY INTERESTS

None were declared.

227/21 PUBLIC SESSION

No members of the public were present.

228/21 ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL (ADC) PLANNING APPLICATIONS

The committee considered the following Planning Applications:

Observations by 18th March 2021

None

Observations by 25th March 2021

EP/20/21/PL

Removal of condition 1 imposed under EP/60/20/PL to remove only condition and the seating area to be permanent
Ristorante Al Mare, 2 The Street, East Preston, BN16 1JJ

Public representations received by the council had been circulated electronically to committee members ahead of the meeting.

Mrs Vos confirmed the Preservation Society would not be commenting upon this Application.

After some discussion the committee AGREED unanimously it would object to this Application as it felt the “trial period” was an essential part of the Planning Permission granted under EP/60/20/PL. There was some concern about how late customers might stay in the outside area, and to that end, the council would not wish to see any attempt to remove Condition 3 of the original Planning Permission which said the outdoor tables and pizza oven should not be used after 9pm Tuesday to Saturday, and after 5pm on Sunday.

Observations by 1st April 2021

None

229/21 WEST SUSSEX COUNTY COUNCIL (WSCC) PLANNING APPLICATIONS

There were no WSCC Planning Applications to consider.

230/21 LICENSING APPLICATIONS

There were no Licensing Applications to be considered.

231/21 MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 22ND FEBRUARY 2021

The draft Minutes had been circulated to all councillors on 1st March, asking for suggested amendments to be submitted by 4th March. Cllr Moore replied, “I have carefully read draft and they appear to be fine.”

The committee AGREED the Minutes could be signed as a true record of the meeting held on 22nd February. The committee AGREED this action could be completed by Cllr Mathias when he next visited the Council Office.

232/21 MATTERS ARISING FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS

Minute 168/21 – Arun District Council (ADC) Planning Applications – EP/2/21/HH – 27a Sea Lane – a revised plan had been submitted to ADC but not notified to this council. The Clerk had forwarded the revised plan to committee members on 9th March.

Mrs Vos said the Preservation Society would be minded to withdraw its objections now if the applicants would propose a more suitable material for the roofing.

The committee considered the revised plans but AGREED not to withdraw its objections at this time.

Minute 179/21 – Correspondence – Arun District Council – Community Infrastructure Levy – the Clerk reported he had attended a conference call upon this subject on Thursday, 11th March. To his surprise, it had been announced on the call East Preston Parish Council was one of five councils which was scheduled to receive a Community Infrastructure Levy payment in April. No further details were available at this time. However, this would mean the council would need to prepare an Infrastructure Investment Plan and this is something he would raise at the next Full Council meeting.

233/21 RECENT DECISIONS

objections to this Application. The full context was, “As neighbours have already commented, the proposed building will reduce the privacy of the back gardens of several neighbouring properties. This would be unneighbourly.”

The Clerk had apologised but explained the term was a standard Planning term this council had been using before he had taken office. No other applicant had ever complained about the council’s use of this word. The gentleman had added he and his wife had only moved to the village in November and had found it difficult to decide how best to progress the Application at a time when interacting with others was so limited because of the pandemic. The Clerk had advised the gentleman ADC did not always agree with the council but if it did and he wanted to discuss alternative plans with the council and the Preservation Society, he would be happy to arrange such a meeting.

The committee did not feel the Clerk had used the word inappropriately.

239/21 NEW MATTERS FOR THE NEXT MEETING (29TH MARCH)

None.

The Meeting ended at 18:27.

Chairman: *Cllr Glyn Mathias* Date: **19th April 2021**